



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Solomon et al.

Serial No.:

09/668,119

September 22, 2000

For:

Filed:

TRANSCRIPTIONAL ADAPTOR

PROTEIN

Examiner: B. Loeb

Art Unit: 1636

RECEIVED

FEB 2 0 2002

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the November 23, 2001, written restriction requirement, Applicant provisionally elects the invention of Group I (claims 1-17, 23 and 24) with traverse.

With respect to the restriction between Groups I through VIII, applicant submits that the subject matter of these groups are closely related, and, therefore, would require common areas of search and consideration. There are two criteria for restriction, namely, (1) the invention must be independent and distinct; and (2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required. MPEP § 803. Applicant contends that searching the allegedly distinct inventions of Groups I and II would not place a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required.

02/20/2002 MAHMED1 00000015 09668119

01 FC:215

55.00 OP

Respectfully submitted,

Braman & Rogalskyj, LLP

P.O. Box 352

Canandaigua, New York 14424-0352

Tel: 716-626-5380 Fax: 716-626-5384

I:\011\00250\B17

Karla M. Weyand

Registration No. 40,223

Certifica :

37 CFR 1.8 (a)

I hereby certify that this correspondene is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mailing an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner of Patents and Trademárks, Washington, D.C. 2023), on the date below.

1|23 v2

Date

Karla M. Weyand